



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,438	07/02/2003	Teck H. Hu	2100.000800	6519
46290	7590 12/18/2006		EXAMINER	
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON			PORTIS, SHANTELL L	
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/612,438	HU ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Shantell Portis	2617		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 30 January 2004.			
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vectorial claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a) accepted or b) object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand or correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No on received in this National Stage		
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	948) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 14, 16-24, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tiedemann, Jr. et al. (Tiedemann), U.S. Publication No. 2002/00304170.

Regarding Claims 1, 10, 16 and 28, Tiedemann discloses a method of communication, comprising: assigning at least one channelization code to a data packet (inherent in a CDMA system; [0007]); and assigning at least a portion of power available for communicating to the channelization code based on a channel quality metric (power is assigned to CBR, VBR and ABR traffic based on power needed for transmission and quality of service relative to priority; [0034]).

Regarding Claims 2, 17 and 18 Tiedemann discloses wherein assigning the channelization code further comprises assigning at least one channelization code to each of a plurality of data packets, assigning the portions of the power further comprises assigning portions of the power available to at least a subset of channelization codes based on a plurality of channel quality metrics, and the method further comprises: determining the portions of the available power to be assigned based on the channel quality metrics and a first optimization parameter (the base station determines which time frames have additional capacity available for

....

Art Unit: 2617

transmission by comparing the power needed with the maximum output power value; [0034]); determining the values of the number of channelization codes assigned to the data packets based on the determined portions of the available power and a second optimization parameter (determining the power required to transmit each ABR stream and selecting one or more ABR streams with power requirements that are equal to the available capacity [0035]); and repeating the determining of the portions of the available power and the determining of the values of the number of channelization codes.

Regarding Claim 3, Tiedemann discloses wherein assigning the channelization code further comprises assigning at least one channelization code to each of a plurality of data packets, assigning the portions of the power further comprises assigning portions to at least a subset of the channelization codes based on a plurality of channel quality metrics, and the method further comprises: determining the number of channelization codes assigned to each data packet based on a size of the data packet and one of the channel quality estimates associated with the data packet (determining the power required to transmit each ABR stream and selecting one or more ABR streams with power requirements that are equal to the available capacity [0035]); determining the portions of the available power to be assigned to each of the channelization codes based on a first optimization parameter (the base station determines which time frames have additional capacity available for transmission by comparing the power needed with the maximum output power value; [0034]); and

Art Unit: 2617

repeating the determining of the number of channelization codes and the determining of the portions of the available power.

Regarding Claims 4 and 19, Tiedemann discloses further comprising: terminating the repeating responsive to the assigned channelization codes in a first iteration being the same as the assigned channelization codes in a second later iteration (the assigned channelization codes are inherently the same for VBR traffic streams 14e,14f and they both require little power during the time frame 18e; [0032]); and truncating the subset of assigned channelization codes based on a maximum number of allowable channelization codes (a subset of channelization codes are assigned specifically to each CBR, VBR and ABR traffic streams).

Regarding Claims 5 and 20, Tiedemann discloses wherein assigning the channelization code further comprises assigning at least one channelization code to each of a plurality of data packets, assigning the portions of the power further comprises assigning portions to at least a subset of the channelization codes based on channel quality metrics associated with the data packets, and the method further comprises assigning the channelization codes and the portions of the available power to optimize a Shannon capacity (inherent) of a channel for communicating the data packets (see rejections for claim 2).

Regarding Claims 6 and 21, Tiedemann discloses wherein assigning the channelization code further comprises assigning at least one channelization code to each of a plurality of data packets, assigning the portions of the power further comprises assigning portions to at least a subset of the channelization codes based on

Art Unit: 2617

channel quality metrics associated with the data packets, and the method further comprises prioritizing the plurality of data packets (the scheduling of transmissions are based on priority and quality of service requirements; [0034] and see rejections for claim 2).

Regarding Claims 7 and 22, Tiedemann discloses wherein prioritizing the plurality of data packets further comprises: identifying a plurality of quality of service classes (CBR, VBR and ABR traffic streams; [0031-0033]); assigning a predetermined amount of the available power to each of the quality of service classes (power is assigned to each traffic stream for each time frame 18a-f; [0032-0034]); and assigning the channelization codes and the portions of the available power based on the predetermined amounts for each quality of service classes (power is first assigned to CBR and VBR traffic streams and the remaining unused power is used to schedule ABR transmissions; [0034] and [0035]).

Regarding Claims 8 and 23, Tiedemann discloses wherein prioritizing the plurality of data packets further comprises: identifying a plurality of quality of service classes; assigning the channelization codes and the portions of the available power for a first class (CBR and VBR traffic streams) of the quality of service classes; determining a remaining amount of the available power after the assigning for the first class; and assigning the channelization codes and the portions of the available power for a second class (ABR traffic streams) of the quality of service classes based on the remaining amount of available power (see rejections for claim 7).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding Claims 9 and 24, Tiedemann discloses wherein prioritizing the plurality of data packets further comprises: identifying a plurality of quality of service classes; combining all data packets in the plurality of quality of service classes; sorting the combined users based on a fairness algorithm (inherent when identifying a plurality of quality of service classes); and assigning the channelization codes and the portions of the available power based on the sorting (power is assigned based on availability and the quality of service classes) (see rejections for claim 7).

Regarding Claim 11, Tiedemann discloses further comprising initiating a communication link over a channel, the communication link being assigned to a quality of service class having a predetermined transmit power assignment and the power fraction is based on a portion of the predetermined transmit power (the ABR traffic streams are assigned a predetermined transmit power based on the available remaining unused power; [0034] and [0035]).

Regarding Claim 12, Tiedemann discloses wherein extracting the data packet further comprises extracting the data packet encoded with the channelization code from the signal received over the channel, wherein the channelization code and the power fraction associated with the signal are assigned based on the channel quality estimate to optimize a Shannon capacity (inherent) of the channel (see rejections for claims 10 and 11).

Regarding Claims 14 and 26, Tiedemann discloses wherein assigning the channelization code further comprises assigning at least one channelization code to each of a plurality of data packets, assigning the portions of the power further

Art Unit: 2617

comprises assigning portions of the power available to at least a subset of channelization codes based on a plurality of channel quality metrics, the first constraint and first optimization parameter are associated with the power available for communicating, and the method further comprises determining the portions of the available power to be assigned based on the first optimization parameter (see rejections for claim 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 13, 15, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Tiedemann.

Regarding Claims 13, 15, 25 and 27, Tiedemann discloses assigning at least one of the channelization code and the portion of power available for communicating based on the first optimization parameter as described above.

Tiedemann fails to specifically disclose further comprising: generating a cost function using a channel capacity equation having a first constraint, the cost function including a first optimization parameter associated with the first constraint; determining a value for the first optimization parameter based on a first order derivative of the cost function. However, according to Tiedemann [0005]-[0007] cost is associated with each classification: CBR being the most expensive, VBR the next expensive and ABR the

Application/Control Number: 10/612,438 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

least expensive. Based on this priority list the portions of available power are assigned accordingly. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to determine the amount of power required for transmitting each code channel based upon priority so as to not exceed the total amount of power that the amplifier can provide without undesirable distortion [0007].

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hill et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,775,256 discloses a packet scheduler and method therefor.

Lundby et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,068,683 discloses a method and apparatus for high rate packet data and low delay data transmissions.

Hsu, U.S. Publication No. 2004/0090938 discloses a method of optimizing radiation pattern of smart antenna.

Ketchum, U.S. Patent No. 6,731,668 discloses a method and system for increased bandwidth efficiency multiple input-multiple output channels.

Bombay et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,999,517 discloses a method and apparatus for transmission of data on multiple propagation modes with far-end cross-talk cancellation.

Gollamudi et al., U.S. Publication No. 2003/0123477 discloses an adapative quality control loop for link rate adaptation in data packet communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,438 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantell Portis whose telephone number is 571-272-0886. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-3:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WAY

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Zle